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The effect of product purity on product flowrate, oxygen recovery, adsorbent productivity, and specific energy demand was investigated for the adsorption pressure of 5.5 bar (g). The experiments were carried out for zeolite molecular sieve UOP MOLSIV™ PSAO2 XP (UOP LLC, Honeywell) with N2/O2 enhanced selectivity in the pilot-plant adsorption unit using two-bed pressure swing adsorption technology. The oxygen recovery, adsorbent productivity, and specific energy demand were found in the range of 0.44 to 0.32, from 205 to 286 kg tO2-1 d, and from 0.556 to 0.767 kWh NmO2-3, respectively, for oxygen purity in the product stream increasing from 89 to 94.7 %.

* 1. Introduction

The Pressure Swing Adsorption (PSA) units are widely used as an oxygen source where oxygen is produced in gaseous form. The start-up time of minutes is an undeniable advantage of PSA technology compared to cryogenic air separation, which has a start-up time of hours or days. Nitrogen-selective zeolites 5A and 13X are the most commonly used for oxygen separation from the air (Chin et al., 2023). Using nitrogen-selective zeolites, the oxygen content in the product gas is limited to 95 %. The rest of the product stream is mainly argon. The composition of the exhaust gas is approximately 85 – 90 % nitrogen and 10 – 15 % oxygen (Banaszkiewicz and Gizicki, 2020). Argon-oxygen selective silver-modified X-zeolites or carbon molecular sieves are used as adsorbents (Santos et al., 2007) to obtain higher oxygen purity. To this day, lithium-doped silica zeolites (Li-SLX) are considered the best adsorbents for PSA/VPSA oxygen production (Chin et al., 2023). Today, zeolites modified by a single cation (Yang et al., 2019) or mixed cations (Epiepang et al., 2019) are investigated as potentially better alternatives.

Zhu et al. (2017) developed a novel rapid vacuum pressure swing adsorption process (RVPSA) with intermediate gas pressurization for oxygen production. For 90 % oxygen purity, they reported 29.45 % oxygen recovery and a bed size factor of 82.84 kg tO2-1 d for the adsorption and desorption pressures of 240 kPa and 60 kPa, respectively. The Li-LSX was used as an adsorbent. Banaszkiewicz and Chorowski (2018) experimentally analysed the dependence of energy consumption on oxygen purity in a mobile pilot unit utilizing vacuum-pressure-temperature swing adsorption Technology (VPTSA) for air separation. They found energy consumption of 892 kWh tO2-1 for oxygen of 94 % oxygen purity. Gizicki and Banaszkiewicz (2020) analyzed experimentally energy consumption with the use of a commercially available oxygen-PSA generator using 5A zeolite. They reported an energy consumption of 1,450-1,530 kWh t-1 for the adsorption pressure of 5.5 bar (g) and 95 % oxygen.

The effect of product purity on product flowrate, oxygen recovery, adsorbent productivity, and specific energy demand was investigated for the adsorption pressure of 5.5 bar (g) in the pilot-plant adsorption unit using zeolite molecular sieve UOP MOLSIV™ PSAO2 XP (UOP LLC, Honeywell) with N2/O2 enhanced selectivity.

* + 1. Experimental set-up

The pilot plant adsorption unit O2 (manufactured by OXYWISE Ltd., Slovakia) was used to carry out all the experiments. The adsorption unit utilizes two-bed Pressure Swing Adsorption technology. The nominal capacity of this unit is 1.3 kg/h of gaseous oxygen with a purity of 95 % oxygen. The PSA units require an inlet air quality of class 1.4.1 (solid particles, air humidity, oil). It is necessary to treat compressed air to achieve air quality. A refrigeration air drying produces an air of the required pressure dew point of +3 °C. The adsorption unit schema is shown in Figure 1a. The parameters of the main components are described in Šulc and Kos (2022) in detail. The experiments were carried out for the porous zeolite molecular sieve UOP MOLSIV™ PSAO2 XP (UOP LLC, Honeywell) with N2/O2 enhanced selectivity. Adsorbent particles are homogeneous spheres of 2 mm diameter (8×12 mesh) with a bulk density of 656.8 kg/m3. The adsorbent properties are presented in Table 1. The weight of the adsorbent in the column was approximately 8.69 kg. The standard cycle consists of these sequential steps: 1) equalization, 2) pressurization, 3) production, 4) equalization, and 5) purging. At present, the industrially used cycles combine pressurization and production steps, as demonstrated in Figure 1b. The following time intervals were used for the experiment: i) 48 s for the pressurization, ii) 19 s for the equalization, and iii) 24 s for the production step.
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*Figure 1: Pilot-plant adsorption unit: a) the technological scheme, b) the scheme of the adsorption cycle used for columns C1 and C2: E-equalization, P-pressurization, Pr-production, and Pu-purging*

Table 1: Properties of UOP MOLSIV™ PSAO2 XP

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Particle diameter  (m) | BET surface area  (m2 g-1) | Outer surface area  (m2 g-1) | Micropore area  (m2 g-1) | Pore  volume (m3 g-1) | Micropore  volume  (m3 g-1) | Particle porosity  (-) | Bulk  density (kg m-3) | Particle density (kg m-3) |
| 0.002 | 522.8 | 40.2 | 246.7 | 3.47×10**-**4 | 2.47×10**-**4 | 0.38 | 678.96 | 1095.1 |

* + 1. Experimental data

The mass gas flow rate, the volumetric concentrations of oxygen and CO2, and the dew point were measured in the air and product gas streams for the adsorption pressure of 5.5 bar (g). The oxygen flowrate in the product stream was changed in the range from 1.4 to 2.0 kg h-1 using the product stream regulation valve. A total of 13 datasets were recorded.

Each dataset lasts approximately 23 minutes. For each selected oxygen flowrate, the data set was recorded in a steady state. The mean mass flowrate was calculated using internal integration built into the flowmeter for each dataset as follows:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| , | (1) |

where TM1 (t1) and TM2 (t2) are the total weights recorded by the flowmeter at the beginning and the end of the dataset respectively. The mean values of the other measured quantities such as oxygen content, dew point, temperature, etc. were calculated by averaging instantaneous values recorded by sensors. The calculated mean values of the selected measured quantities are presented in Table 2.

Table 2: Experimental data – mean values evaluated

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Dataset No. | Oxygen content  (% mol.) | | | Mass flowrate  (kg h-1) | | CO2 content  (ppm) | | Dew point  (°C) | |
|  | Air | Product  stream | Exhaust  stream | Air | Product  stream | Air | Product steam | Air | Product stream |
| 1 | 21.04 | 94.65 | 16.76 | 17.1 | 1.35 | 577 | 0.2 | −0.8 | −79.2 |
| 2 | 21.04 | 94.5 | 16.3 | 17.4 | 1.45 | 521 | 0.06 | −0.9 | −79.3 |
| 3 | 21.04 | 94.55 | 15.89 | 17.6 | 1.5 | 518 | 0.11 | −1.0 | −79.0 |
| 4 | 21.04 | 94.38 | 15.29 | 17.5 | 1.55 | 525 | 0.11 | −1.0 | −79.5 |
| 5 | 21.04 | 94.46 | 15.46 | 17.6 | 1.55 | 522 | 0.09 | −1.1 | −79.0 |
| 6 | 21.04 | 94.31 | 14.86 | 17.6 | 1.59 | 508 | 0.11 | −1.1 | −79.4 |
| 7 | 21.04 | 94.05 | 14.64 | 17.3 | 1.6 | 532 | 0.17 | −1.0 | −78.7 |
| 8 | 21.09 | 93.29 | 13.53 | 17.2 | 1.7 | 531 | 0.23 | −1.0 | −79.1 |
| 9 | 21.05 | 93.56 | 13.76 | 17.4 | 1.71 | 548 | 0.18 | −1.1 | −79.0 |
| 10 | 21.09 | 91.97 | 12.59 | 17.3 | 1.82 | 527 | 0.23 | −1.1 | −79.1 |
| 11 | 21.08 | 91.99 | 12.63 | 17.1 | 1.79 | 519 | 0.28 | −0.8 | −78.9 |
| 12 | 21.07 | 90.98 | 12.03 | 17.3 | 1.87 | 519 | 0.23 | −1.0 | −78.6 |
| 13 | 21.05 | 89.44 | 11.62 | 17.4 | 1.99 | 531 | 0.11 | −1.0 | −79.2 |

* 1. Results and Discussion
     1. Product stream composition

The following quantities are usually measured in the product stream: i) oxygen content, ii) CO2 content, and iii) water content. The question arises as to what is the remaining content of the product stream. As reported in the literature, using nitrogen-selective zeolites (type A and type X zeolites), the oxygen purity is limited to 95% oxygen in the product stream and the rest of the product stream is mainly argon. The composition of the product stream is usually not fully presented. Beeyani et al. (2010) modelled the PSA process assuming that the proportion between argon and oxygen is the same both in the air stream and in the product stream, i.e.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| , | (2) |

Eq. (2) can be rearranged as follows:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| , | (3) |

where yAr (-) and yO2 (-) are mole fractions of argon and oxygen, respectively, in the air stream or the product stream. Thus, with an increase in the product stream flowrate, the concentration of argon and oxygen will decrease in the same manner in the product stream as the nitrogen manages to break the adsorption bed into the product stream. This assumption was based on the known fact that the sorption isotherms for argon and oxygen are the same for zeolite molecular sieves as reported by Yang (2003). Therefore, two hypotheses were tested: i) the product stream contains only oxygen and argon (noted Hypothesis No. 1), and ii) the product stream contains the same proportion of oxygen and argon as in the air stream (noted Hypothesis No. 2). The mass balance was performed under the following two assumptions: i) the water content was neglected in all streams, and ii) the CO2 content in the product gas was omitted owing to its negligible concentration. The calculated composition of the product stream and the exhaust stream is presented in Table 3 and Table 4 for both hypotheses.

The argon content was found to be the best quantity for the hypothesis assessment. Assuming that there is no nitrogen in the product stream, the argon content calculated in the product stream for oxygen purity less than 90 % reached the negative value which is meaningless. Therefore, the postulate proposed by Beeyani et al. (2010) seems to be more reliable than the postulate anticipating the presence of oxygen and argon in the product stream only.

On the other side, it should be noted that the oxygen content calculated in the exhaust stream varied in the range from 1.4 to 12 % from the measured value for both hypotheses.

Table 3: Stream composition – hypothesis No. 1\*1

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Dataset | Product stream | | | Exhaust stream | | | | | |
| No. | oxygen \*2  (% mol.) | nitrogen\*3  (% mol) | argon\*3  (% mol.) | oxygen \*2  (% mol.) | oxygen \*3  (% mol.) | relative  error \*4 (%) | nitrogen\*3  (% mol) | argon\*3  (% mol.) | CO2\*3  (ppm) |
| 1 | 94.65 | 0 | 5.35 | 16.76 | 15.47 | 8.3 | 83.87 | 0.6 | 621 |
| 2 | 94.5 | 0 | 5.50 | 16.30 | 15.14 | 7.7 | 84.24 | 0.56 | 563 |
| 3 | 94.55 | 0 | 5.45 | 15.89 | 14.96 | 6.3 | 84.43 | 0.56 | 561 |
| 4 | 94.38 | 0 | 5.62 | 15.29 | 14.77 | 3.5 | 84.64 | 0.53 | 570 |
| 5 | 94.46 | 0 | 5.54 | 15.46 | 14.81 | 4.4 | 84.6 | 0.54 | 566 |
| 6 | 94.31 | 0 | 5.69 | 14.86 | 14.62 | 1.6 | 84.81 | 0.51 | 553 |
| 7 | 94.05 | 0 | 5.95 | 14.64 | 14.48 | 1.1 | 84.98 | 0.48 | 580 |
| 8 | 93.29 | 0 | 6.71 | 13.53 | 14.14 | −4.3 | 85.43 | 0.37 | 582 |
| 9 | 93.56 | 0 | 6.44 | 13.76 | 14.09 | −2.3 | 85.45 | 0.4 | 600 |
| 10 | 91.97 | 0 | 8.03 | 12.59 | 13.81 | −8.8 | 85.93 | 0.2 | 581 |
| 11 | 91.99 | 0 | 8.01 | 12.63 | 13.8 | −8.4 | 85.94 | 0.2 | 573 |
| 12 | 90.98 | 0 | 9.02 | 12.03 | 13.63 | −11.8 | 86.24 | 0.07 | 574 |
| 13 | 89.44 | 0 | 10.56 | 11.62 | 13.4 | −13.3 | 86.24 | -0.15 | 591 |

Note: \*1 Argon content of 0.93 mol % in dry air. \*2 Measured value. \*3 Calculated value. \*4 Related to the calculated value.

Table 4: Stream composition – hypothesis No. 2\*1

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Dataset | Product stream | | | Exhaust stream | | | | | |
| No. | oxygen \*2  (% mol.) | nitrogen\*3  (% mol) | argon\*3  (% mol.) | oxygen \*2  (% mol.) | oxygen \*3  (% mol.) | relative  error \*4 (%) | nitrogen\*3  (% mol) | argon\*3  (% mol.) | CO2\*3  (ppm) |
| 1 | 94.65 | 1.16 | 4.18 | 16.76 | 15.45 | 8.5 | 83.81 | 0.68 | 621 |
| 2 | 94.5 | 1.33 | 4.18 | 16.30 | 15.11 | 7.9 | 84.17 | 0.67 | 563 |
| 3 | 94.55 | 1.27 | 4.18 | 15.89 | 14.93 | 6.5 | 84.36 | 0.66 | 561 |
| 4 | 94.38 | 1.45 | 4.17 | 15.29 | 14.73 | 3.8 | 84.56 | 0.65 | 570 |
| 5 | 94.46 | 1.37 | 4.17 | 15.46 | 14.77 | 4.7 | 84.52 | 0.65 | 566 |
| 6 | 94.31 | 1.53 | 4.17 | 14.86 | 14.58 | 1.9 | 84.72 | 0.64 | 553 |
| 7 | 94.05 | 1.79 | 4.16 | 14.64 | 14.43 | 1.4 | 84.87 | 0.64 | 580 |
| 8 | 93.29 | 2.6 | 4.11 | 13.53 | 14.07 | −3.8 | 85.25 | 0.62 | 582 |
| 9 | 93.56 | 2.31 | 4.13 | 13.76 | 14.02 | −1.9 | 85.3 | 0.62 | 601 |
| 10 | 91.97 | 3.98 | 4.06 | 12.59 | 13.69 | −8.0 | 85.65 | 0.6 | 582 |
| 11 | 91.99 | 3.95 | 4.06 | 12.63 | 13.68 | −7.6 | 85.66 | 0.6 | 574 |
| 12 | 90.98 | 5 | 4.02 | 12.03 | 13.48 | −10.8 | 85.87 | 0.6 | 575 |
| 13 | 89.44 | 6.61 | 3.95 | 11.62 | 13.19 | −11.9 | 86.17 | 0.58 | 592 |

Note: \*1 Argon content of 0.93 mol % in dry air. \*2 Measured value. \*3 Calculated value. \*4 Related to the calculated value.

* + 1. Air factor, oxygen recovery, and adsorbent productivity

Some manufacturers of oxygen PSA generators use the term ‘air factor’. The air factor is the air ratio defined as the ratio of the air flowrate at the PSA inlet to the gaseous oxygen stream that is produced (GOX), i.e. product gas:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| , | (4) |

where AirF is the air ratio (Nm3 Nm-3), V•Nair is the volumetric airflow rate at the PSA unit inlet under standard conditions (Nm3 s-1), V•NGOX is the volumetric flowrate of the gaseous oxygen stream (GOX) produced by the PSA unit under standard conditions (Nm3 s-1).

The oxygen recovery is defined as the ratio of the oxygen flowrate in the air entering the PSA unit to the oxygen flowrate in the product stream (GOX):

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| , | (5) |

where yO2-product  is the mole fraction of oxygen in the product stream (-), n•product is the molar flowrate of the product stream (kmol s-1), yO2-air is the mole fraction of oxygen in the air fed to the PSA unit (-), and n•air is the molar air flowrate (kmol s-1). The adsorbent productivity is characterized by the bed size factor which is defined as the ratio of adsorbent weight to the oxygen flow in the product stream (GOX):

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| , | (6) |

where BSF is the bed size factor (kgadsorbent kgO2-1 d), MO2 is the molar weight of oxygen (kg kmol-1), and V•Nair is the volumetric airflow rate at the PSA unit input under standard conditions (Nm3 d-1).

The calculated values for air factor and oxygen recovery are presented in Table 5 for each dataset.

Table 5: Process characteristics – air factor, oxygen recovery, and specific energy demand\*2

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Dataset No. | Oxygen purity \*1  (% mol.) | Air factor  (kmol kmol-1) | Oxygen recovery  (-) | Specific energy  (kJ kgO2-1) | demand  (kWh NmO2-3) \*3 |
| 1 | 94.65 | 14.2 | 0.32 | 3,675 | 0.767 |
| 2 | 94.5 | 13.4 | 0.34 | 3,478 | 0.726 |
| 3 | 94.55 | 13 | 0.35 | 3,384 | 0.707 |
| 4 | 94.38 | 12.6 | 0.36 | 3,287 | 0.686 |
| 5 | 94.46 | 12.7 | 0.35 | 3,306 | 0.69 |
| 6 | 94.31 | 12.3 | 0.36 | 3,214 | 0.671 |
| 7 | 94.05 | 12 | 0.37 | 3,147 | 0.657 |
| 8 | 93.29 | 11.3 | 0.39 | 2,972 | 0.621 |
| 9 | 93.56 | 11.3 | 0.39 | 2,971 | 0.62 |
| 10 | 91.97 | 10.6 | 0.41 | 2,828 | 0.591 |
| 11 | 91.99 | 10.6 | 0.41 | 2,825 | 0.59 |
| 12 | 90.98 | 10.2 | 0.42 | 2,759 | 0.576 |
| 13 | 89.44 | 9.7 | 0.44 | 2,663 | 0.556 |

Note: \*1 Oxygen content in the product stream. \*2 Data calculated for the mass balance obtained by hypothesis No. 2. \*3  Conversion factor: 1 kJ kgO2-1 = 2.088⋅10-4 kWh Nm-3O2.

The effect of bed size factor air ratio on oxygen purity was evaluated as follows:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | (7) |

where O2 purity is oxygen purity in the product gas (GOX), and BSF is the bed size factor (kgadsorbent tO2-1 d). The comparison of experimental data and the proposed correlation is presented in Figure 2a.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |
| *a) bed size factor* | *b) specific energy demand* |

Figure 2: Process characteristics: a) bed size factor, b) specific energy demand

* + 1. Specific energy demand

The specific energy demand (kJ kgO2-1) was calculated as follows:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| , | (8) |

where Pc is compressor electrical power input (kW), m•O2-product is the oxygen flowrate in the product gas (kg s-1), ηc is the overall compressor efficiency, p1 is the inlet air pressure (kPa), V•air1 is the air flowrate (m3 s-1) at inlet conditions (temperature t1, pressure p1), p2 is the outlet air pressure (kPa), κ is Poisson constant (-).

The specific energy consumption was calculated for an air intake temperature of 20°C and pressure of 101.325 kPa, and outlet pressure of 650 kPa, %, κ = 1.4, ηc = 0.76. The overall compressor efficiency was estimated using datasheet data for the Kaeser compressor (Kaeser Kompressoren, 2022). For the eSC calculation, the pressure losses were neglected and compression work was only taken into account. The calculated eSC values are presented in Table 5 for each dataset. As expected, when the energy losses on the valves are omitted, the specific energy consumption needed for the product separation is inversely proportional to the oxygen recovery, as evidenced in Figure 2b. The specific energy demand estimated for the 94 % oxygen purity is comparable with the results presented by Banaszkiewicz and Chorowski (2018) for the VPTSA technology. The specific energy demand estimated for 95 % purity is approximately 1/3 less compared to data for 5A zeolite presented by Gizicki and Banaszkiewicz (2020) for the same adsorption pressure.

* 1. Conclusions

The effect of product purity on product flowrate, oxygen recovery, adsorbent productivity, and specific energy demand was investigated for the adsorption pressure of 5.5 bar (g) in the pilot-plant adsorption unit using two-bed pressure swing adsorption technology. The oxygen recovery, adsorbent productivity, and specific energy demand were found in the range of 0.44 to 0.32, from 205 to 286 kg tO2-1 d, and from 0.556 to 0.767 kWh NmO2-3, respectively, for oxygen purity in the product stream increasing from 89 to 94.7 %. The specific energy demand estimated for 95 % purity is approximately 1/3 less compared to data for 5A zeolite presented by Gizicki and Banaszkiewicz (2020) for the same adsorption pressure. This finding confirms the expected better performance of UOP MOLSIV™ PSAO2 XP (UOP LLC, Honeywell) with N2/O2 enhanced selectivity. The estimated specific energy demand for 94 % oxygen purity is comparable with the results presented by Banaszkiewicz and Chorowski (2018) for the VPTSA technology. Simultaneously, the two hypotheses regarding product stream composition were tested. The assumption proposed by Beeyani et al. (2010) seems to be more reliable than the postulate anticipating the presence of oxygen and argon in the product stream only.
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