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Hydrogen production from wastewater: using the photo-electrochemical (PEC) approach to recover high-value products
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The present work focuses on developing a versatile photo-electrochemical (PEC) system designed to operate in both gas and liquid phases for the photo-upgrading of ethanol. This device, made of Plexiglas, was developed adopting a modular approach. It consists of two gas-tight half-cells separated by a reinforced proton-exchange membrane and connected to two small tanks for electrolytes, which also serve as gas storage. The photo-electrode used is a membrane of TiO2 nanotubes supported onto a Ti mesh. This novel 2D-3D electrode, located in direct contact with the exchange membrane, enables gas-phase (zero-gap) operation and shows superior performance in terms of photocurrent generation and reduced ohmic resistance. The photo-reforming tests were conducted using a 20% ethanol solution in water as the anolyte and a 0.050 M Na2SO4 aqueous solution as the catholyte. Hydrogen (H2) production rates of 11.2 µmol h-1 and 6.5 µmol h-1 were obtained in the gas-phase (GP) and liquid-phase (LP) configurations, respectively. Note that in the GP configuration, an inert gas stream transported the ethanol vapour to the anode operating in gas phase. Interestingly, the LP configuration yielded higher production rates of value-added chemicals, including 13.3 µmol h-1 of acetaldehyde and 0.4 µmol h-1 of acetic acid, compared to the GP configuration, which produced 4.2 µmol h-1 of acetaldehyde and no detectable acetic acid. These results demonstrate that photo-electrocatalytic performance is governed not only by the catalyst but also by the device configuration and type of operation.

* 1. Introduction

Photo-electrochemical (PEC) cells offer a promising technology for sustainable hydrogen (H2) production. By harnessing solar energy, these devices can efficiently convert water into hydrogen gas, a clean and versatile fuel. Moreover, PEC cells can store intermittent renewable energy sources like solar, wind, and hydropower, ensuring a consistent energy supply (Nabgan et al., 2024). However, most studies focus primarily on the production of H2 in the cathode compartment of PEC cells (Lima Perini et al., 2021). In contrast, the anode compartment, where oxidation reactions occur, typically produces only oxygen (O2) if the electrolyte contains only water. However, replacing pure water with solutions containing small organic molecules or dyes, such as wastewater from municipal treatment plants (Hu et al., 2024), bio-refineries (Hu et al., 2017), or textile industries (Verma et al., 2022)., presents a valid alternative. Oxidizing organic pollutants in the anode offers several advantages: a) reducing the cell’s overpotential (Ampelli et al., 2023), b) increasing the H2 production rate, and c) generating highly valuable products (Wei et al., 2024; Yadav et al., 2022). PEC cells are an emerging technology, and various design approaches have been proposed in the literature, from simple H-type cells, suitable for electrode characterization, to more advanced configurations such as membrane electrode assembly (MEA) cells or flow-cells, which are more suitable for the industrial-scale applications (Ampelli et al., 2023).

In this work, a novel modular and versatile PEC cell was developed. The key advantage of this design is its ability to operate in both gas and liquid phases without the need to open the cell or remove the catalytic MEA. Switching between operating conditions (e.g., moving from liquid- to gas-phase configuration) can influence the selectivity of the process, enabling the catalyst to conduct one or more oxidation/reduction steps (Giusi et al., 2023).

Titanium dioxide (TiO2) nanotubes supported on Ti mesh were selected as the photoactive material due to their unique ability to absorb UV light and suitable bandgap for water-splitting reaction (~3.2 eV). This 2D-3D architectured tubular morphology enhances visible light absorption through scattering phenomena and strongly reduces the charge recombination rate (Saboo et al., 2018) which is one of the main drawbacks of bulk TiO2 (Ampelli et al 2017). To better understand the performance and limitations of the two cell configurations, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements were conducted, thus revealing key bottlenecks and providing insights into the two systems (De Pasquale et al 2023).

* 1. Experimental
		1. Electrode preparation

Titanium dioxide nanotubes (TiO2NTs) were synthesized using a metallic titanium mesh (80 mesh, woven from 0.13 mm diameter wire, Alfa Aesar) as the substrate. The Ti mesh was first sonicated in isopropyl alcohol for 30 minutes to remove any native oxide layer or organic impurities. After drying, the sample was anodized at room temperature through the controlled anodic oxidation technique. The clean substrate was placed in a two-electrode electrochemical cell, made in Teflon, as the working electrode, with a platinum (Pt) electrode serving as the counter electrode. A potentiostat (Agilent E3612A) and a multimeter (Keithley 2000) were used to apply a constant potential of 50 V and monitor the resulting current, respectively. The anodization was conducted for 1 hour under continuous stirring in an electrolyte bath consisting of ethylene glycol with 2.0 wt% deionized water and 0.3 wt% ammonium fluoride. After the anodization, the sample was dried at ambient conditions and later annealed at 450°C for 3 hours, to induce crystallization of the amorphous oxide nanotube arrays into the TiO2 anatase phase.

* + 1. Membrane electrode assembly

The assembly of the electrode materials was critical to ensure proper adherence between layers, to facilitate efficient mass transport and minimize electrical losses. The assembly procedure was the same for both gas-phase and liquid-phase configurations. The photoanode and a Nafion® N324 membrane were cut into circular shapes with a diameter of 36 mm and subsequently brought into contact, with the "anodic" part of the membrane facing the photoanode. To apply additional pressure, a silicon disk of the same diameter was included in the assembly. The assembly was subjected to hot pressing at 130°C for 90 seconds. Then, the silicon disk was easily removed, and the resulting electrode (consisting of the photoanode adhered to the membrane) was placed into the PEC cell for testing.

* + 1. Electrochemical device

The PEC cell used for the photo-electrochemical characterization and catalytic tests was designed to operate in both gas-phase (GP) or liquid-phase (LP) configurations. The device was made of Plexiglas, with two separate anodic and cathodic compartments, a quartz window, and multiple inlets and outlets for gases and/or liquids to accommodate the desired operational mode (LP or GP) in two or three-electrode configuration (see Figures 1a and b). For this work, all tests and photo-electrochemical characterizations were performed in a two-electrode configuration, using a square Pt foil with an area of 1 cm2 as the counter-electrode, and the TiO2NTs/Ti mesh–based photoanode as the working electrode.

A schematic depiction of the GP setup is shown in Figure 1a. A hot plate maintained a solution of ethanol (20 vol% in deionized water) at a constant temperature of 60°C. A nitrogen flowrate of 20 mL min-1 was used to transfer the ethanol vapour from the headspace of the flask to the anodic compartment of the PEC cell. Illumination was provided by a solar simulator (300 W Xe-arc lamp, Quantum Design) placed 5 cm from the cell’s quartz window. The cathodic compartment was supplied with an aqueous electrolyte solution (0.050 M H₂SO₄) circulated by a peristaltic pump from an external reservoir containing 40 mL of electrolyte. Hydrogen produced in the cathodic chamber was carried by a nitrogen flow (20 mL min-1) to a micro gas chromatograph (Pollution micro-GC) for analysis. Vapours from the anodic compartment were collected in an absorber containing 1 mL of 0.005 M H2SO4 aqueous solution, placed in an ice bath, and then the collected products were analysed using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS, Thermo-Fisher Trace 1310) and ionic chromatography (IC, Metrohm 940 Vario).

In the LP setup (Figure 1b), the ethanol solution (20 vol% in deionized water) was directly sent to the anodic chamber using a peristaltic pump. The same pump (two channels) was also used to recirculate the cathodic electrolyte (0.050 M H2SO4). The gaseous products from the cathodic chamber were analysed using the micro-GC, whilst the liquid products from the anodic chambers were sampled every 30 minutes and analysed using GC-MS and IC.
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Figure 1: Representative schemes of a) the gas-phase and b) liquid-phase setups.

* 1. Results and discussion

The cyclic voltammetry (CV) profiles reported in Figures 2a and b were recorded under the same experimental conditions as for the photo-electrocatalytic tests, by scanning the potential from -0.25 V to +1.5 V at a rate of 0.05 V s-1, with and without light irradiation. Figure 2a shows the CV recorded in the gas-phase (GP) configuration, while Figure 2b refers to the liquid-phase (LP) configuration. In both setups, light irradiation enhanced the current density values, with the effect being more pronounced in the LP reactor due to the higher conductivity of the liquid electrolyte compared to the vapour phase.
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Figure 2: Cyclic voltammetry (CV) profiles performed in a) gas-phase and b) liquid-phase configuration, in dark and under light irradiation conditions. The arrows indicate the direction of the measurements.

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) analysis was performed in both gas- and liquid-phase configurations to evaluate charge transfer phenomena at the electrode surface, which strongly influence the electrochemical behavior (Enache et al., 2006; MacDonald, 1987). The measurements were conducted under light and dark conditions at applied potentials of +0.4 V, +0.8 V, +1.0 V, and +1.4 V, with frequencies scanned from 10000 Hz to 0.1 Hz. Data fitting was performed using Zview® software, using one-constant and two-constant circuit models shown in Figure 3.

In the GP configuration, both dark and EIS data were modelled using the simple one-constant circuit. In the LP configuration, the two-constant circuit model was used (Giusi et al., 2022). While the series resistance (Rs) is related to the cell’s electrical connections, the charge transfer resistance (Rct) is associated with the electrochemical reactions, including phenomena occurring at the electrode surface. For the LP process, an additional Rct’ was thus determined at high frequency, which is related to charge transfer in the liquid electrolyte.



**b)**

**a)**

Figure 3: a) one constant circuit and b) two constant circuit models used to fit EIS data.

Figures 4a, b, c and d show the Nyquist plots of EIS data with and without light irradiation, in liquid phase and in gas phase conditions. Table 1 summarizes the Rct values derived from the diameter of the semicircle in the Nyquist plots, obtained via EIS fitting in GP and LP configurations under light irradiation at various applied potentials. For the LP configuration, the low-frequency Rct was considered.

As expected for photoactive materials, Rct values were lower under light irradiation at all applied potentials, in agreement with previous results for TiO2NTs (De Pasquale et al. 2023). Resistance values were higher in the GP configuration than in the LP, consistent with the higher current density values observed in CV measurements in the LP configuration.



**d)**

**b)**

**a)**

**c)**

Figure 4: Nyquist plots a) in gas-phase configuration under dark conditions; b) in liquid-phase configuration under dark conditions ; c) in gas-phase configuration under light irradiation; and d) in liquid-phase configuration under light irradiation. Filled symbols represent experimental EIS data; dotted lines represent fitting data by modelling.

Table 1: Charge transfer resistance (Rct) values acquired from EIS fitting under light irradiation in gas and liquid phase at different applied potentials. For liquid phase the low-frequency Rct was considered.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Applied potential (V) | Gas phaseRct (Ω) | Liquid phaseRct (Ω) |
| 0.4 | 6456 | 2604 |
| 0.8 | 7692 | 2684 |
| 1.0 | 8314 | 2875 |
| 1.4 | 10869 | 2460 |

The photo-electrocatalytic tests were performed in the GP and LP configurations for 120 minutes, applying +1 V between the working and counter electrodes, and irradiating the cell with the open spectrum of the lamp. Table 2 summarizes the results in terms of productivities of acetaldehyde, acetic acid, and hydrogen.

No carbon dioxide (CO2), carbon monoxide (CO) or methane (CH4) were detected during the tests, indicating that ethanol (or acetaldehyde) complete oxidation (i.e., photo-reforming) was negligible. The reactor configuration had a strong impact on catalytic behaviour, particularly on selectivity. Acetaldehyde was produced in both configurations via ethanol photo-dehydrogenation of ethanol, with productivity of 4.2 µmol h-1 in the gas phase and 13.3 µmol h-1 in the liquid phase. Notably, no acetic acid was detected in the GP configuration, while a productivity of 0.4 µmol h-1 was obtained in the LP configuration due to further oxidation of acetaldehyde to acetic acid.

The acetaldehyde formation mechanism on TiO2-based materials under UV light has been widely studied (Reztsova et al., 1999; Wahab et al., 2014) and involves the following steps i) adsorption of ethanol molecules on the TiO2 surface, leading to O-H bond dissociation on Ti-O and formation of ethoxy and hydroxyl groups; ii) reaction of hydroxyl groups with holes *h+* to produce OH radicals; iii) reaction of OH radicals with ethoxy species to generate acetaldehyde radicals; iv) the desorption of acetaldehyde radicals after losing one electron.

Acetic acid is formed through the further oxidation of acetaldehyde, but it is not generally detected with TiO2-based catalysts (Giusi et al., 2022)

Table 2: Acetaldehyde, acetic acid and hydrogen productivities during the tests in liquid- and gas-phase configurations.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Cell configuration | Acetaldehyde productivity(µmol h-1) | Acetic acid productivity(µmol h-1) | Hydrogen productivity(µmol h-1) |
| **Liquid-phase** | 13.3 | 0.4 | 6.5 |
| **Gas-phase** | 4.2 | 0 | 11.2 |

* 1. Conclusions

A TiO2NT/Ti mesh electrode was used to study the effect of the presence or absence of a liquid electrolyte on catalytic performance in the process of ethanol photo-dehydrogenation. For this purpose, a versatile photo-electrochemical (PEC) cell capable of operating in either liquid- or gas-phase configurations was designed.

The results demonstrated that the presence of a liquid electrolyte significantly influenced both the type and quantity of products formed at the anode during ethanol photo-conversion. Interestingly, acetic acid formation was observed exclusively in the liquid-phase configuration, whereas it was absent in the gas phase that provided a higher carbon selectivity to acetaldehyde.

In conclusion, varying the reactor configuration led to distinct reaction pathways in ethanol photo-dehydrogenation, resulting in different product selectivity. This study highlights that photo-catalytic performance is determined not only by the catalyst's intrinsic properties but also by reactor design and configuration, emphasizing the critical role of system engineering in catalytic processes.
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