

## Comparative Evaluation of a Biotrickling Filter and a Tubular Photobioreactor for the Continuous Abatement of Toluene

Roxana Ángeles<sup>a</sup>, Giuseppina Oliva<sup>b</sup>, Tiziano Zarra<sup>b</sup>, Vincenzo Naddeo<sup>b</sup>, Vincenzo Biagiorno<sup>b</sup>, Raul Muñoz<sup>a</sup>, Raquel Lebrero<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Chemical Engineering and Environmental Technology Department, University of Valladolid. School of Industrial Engineering, University of Valladolid, Dr. Mergelina, s/n, 47011, Valladolid Spain.

<sup>b</sup> Sanitary and Environmental Engineering Division (SEED), Department of Civil Engineering, University of Salerno. Via Giovanni Paolo II, 132 - 84084 - Fisciano (SA), Italy.  
[raquel.lebrero@iq.uva.es](mailto:raquel.lebrero@iq.uva.es)

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) are among the gas pollutants with a higher detrimental impact on human health and the environment. The increasing awareness of the population on the importance of air quality and the enforcement of stricter environmental regulations regarding the anthropogenic emissions of these hazardous pollutants have triggered the development of cost-efficient, environmentally friendly off-gas treatment technologies. Biological technologies such as biotrickling filters have been consistently proven as well established technologies for the treatment of VOC emissions, although the low solubility of oxygen might limit the aerobic degradation when treating high concentrations of VOCs. In this context, the synergic effects between microalgae and bacteria represent a sustainable platform to promote the simultaneous abatement of VOCs and CO<sub>2</sub> by increasing the dissolved oxygen concentration as a result of the photosynthetic process. In this study, a conventional biotrickling filter (BTF) and an innovative tubular photobioreactor (TPBR) inoculated with a microalgal-bacterial consortium, and interconnected to an external absorption, column were comparatively evaluated for toluene removal. Operating parameters such as the gas residence time (GRT) and the mineral salt medium renewal rate were optimized in order to boost toluene removal. In this sense, both bioreactors were capable of achieving removals > 85 % at a GRT of 45 s and a medium renewal rate of 800 mL d<sup>-1</sup>. Maximum toluene elimination capacities of ~21 g m<sup>-3</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> were recorded in both the BTF and the TPBR. Despite the satisfactory performance of both systems, the photobioreactor presented a competitive advantage due to the capacity of algal biomass to fix the produced CO<sub>2</sub>, considerably reducing the emissions of this greenhouse gas, while increasing the concentration of dissolved oxygen in the cultivation broth up to 7.7 mg O<sub>2</sub> L<sup>-1</sup>.

### 1. Introduction

Toluene is a volatile aromatic hydrocarbon commonly used as solvent in many industrial sectors such as petrochemistry, pulp and paper or paint and dye (Akmirza et al., 2016). It is included among the priority gaseous organic pollutants, and its emission pose a major challenge due to the detrimental effects on the environment and human health (Mudliar et al., 2010). The toxicity and carcinogenicity of toluene has triggered the enforcement of stringent regulations and, consequently, boosted the necessity of implementing cost effective and environmentally friendly treatment technologies (Wang et al., 2013). The application of physical-chemical processes for the abatement of toluene-laden waste gas streams (such as absorption, adsorption or incineration) is usually inconvenient due to the high flow rates and relatively low volatile organic compounds (VOCs) concentrations that characterize these emissions (Lebrero et al., 2016). Alternatively, biological processes for the aerobic biodegradation of toluene have demonstrated high removal efficiencies at lower operating costs and reduced environmental impacts (Lebrero et al., 2010).

Among biotechnologies, biotrickling filters (BTFs) exhibit advantages over their biological competitors, such as a better process stability and pH control (and thus a more effective treatment of acid-producing pollutants), lower pressure drops and lower footprints thanks to the continuous trickling of water and nutrients (Lebrero et



from the bottom of the column. The TPBR had a total working volume of 45.6 L, and was composed of 12 tubes of 6 cm inner diameter and 94 cm length. The photobioreactor was interconnected to a mixing chamber of 60 L volume and an absorption column (AC) of 2 m height, with a working volume of 3.5 L (Figure 1). The cultivation broth was continuously recirculated from the mixing chamber to the bottom of the AC, and pumped through the TPBR at a linear velocity of  $0.53 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ . The synthetic contaminated gas stream was supplied via a metallic diffuser located at the bottom of the AC co-currently with the cultivation broth recirculated from the mixing chamber. The liquid to biogas flowrate ratio (L/G) was always maintained at 0.5. Two sets of high intensity LED PCBs (printed circuit boards) were placed at both sides of the photobioreactor at light:dark cycles of 12:12 h of the PAR (photosynthetically active radiation). The system was operated for ~70 days in order to determine the optimum operating parameters that maximize toluene removal efficiency (Table 1). The gas residence time (GRT) in the BTF and the AC was initially fixed at 2 min (stage I), and subsequently decreased to 1 and 0.75 min in stages II and III-IV, respectively. During stage I, 200 mL of the cultivation broth of the TPBR and of the recycling media in the BTF were daily exchanged by fresh MSM, increasing this MSM renewal rate to 400 (stages II-III), 800  $\text{mL d}^{-1}$  (stage IV), respectively. Finally, the toluene inlet concentration was initially set at  $0.15 \text{ g m}^{-3}$ , doubling this value during the last stage.

*Table 1: Operating parameters of the (a) TPBR and (b) BTF during the six operational stages.  $C_{in,tol}$ : inlet toluene concentration;  $Q_g$ : gas flowrate; IL: toluene inlet load*

Stage (days)	$C_{in,tol}$ [ $\text{g m}^{-3}$ ]	$Q_g$ [ $\text{ml min}^{-1}$ ]	GRT [min]	L/G ratio	IL [ $\text{g m}^{-3} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ]	MSM renewal rate [ $\text{ml d}^{-1}$ ]
I (0-13)	0.15	1100	2	0.5	4.95	200
II (14-37)	0.15	2200	1	0.5	9.9	400
III (38-58)	0.15	2933	0.75	0.5	13.2	400
IV (58-69)	0.3	2933	0.75	0.5	26.4	800

Stage (days)	$C_{in,tol}$ [ $\text{g m}^{-3}$ ]	$Q_g$ [ $\text{mL min}^{-1}$ ]	GRT [min]	TLV [ $\text{m h}^{-1}$ ]	IL [ $\text{g m}^{-3} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ]	MSM renewal rate [ $\text{mL d}^{-1}$ ]
I (0-13)	0.15	2000	2	2	4.5	200
II (14-37)	0.15	4000	1	2	9	400
III (38-58)	0.15	5333	0.75	2	12	400
IV (58-69)	0.3	5333	0.75	2	24	800

Toluene,  $\text{CO}_2$ ,  $\text{N}_2$  and  $\text{O}_2$  concentrations in the inlet and outlet gas phase of both bioreactors were daily analysed by gas chromatography. Inlet and outlet toluene concentrations in the gas phase were daily analyzed in a Bruker 3900 gas chromatograph (Palo Alto, USA) equipped with a flame ionization detector and a Supelco Wax ( $15 \text{ m} \times 0.25 \text{ mm} \times 0.25 \mu\text{m}$ ) capillary column. Oven temperature was initially maintained at  $50 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  for 1 min, increased at  $50 \text{ }^\circ\text{C min}^{-1}$  up to  $70 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  and then at  $65 \text{ }^\circ\text{C min}^{-1}$  to a final temperature of  $140 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ .  $\text{CO}_2$ ,  $\text{N}_2$  and  $\text{O}_2$  concentrations in the gas phase were determined in a Bruker 430 gas chromatograph (Palo Alto, USA) coupled with a thermal conductivity detector and equipped with a CP-Molsieve5A ( $15 \text{ m} \times 0.53 \text{ m} \times 15 \text{ m}$ ) and a P-PoraBOND Q ( $25 \text{ m} \times 0.53 \text{ m} \times 10 \text{ m}$ ) columns. The oven, injector and detector temperatures were maintained at 40, 150 and  $175 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ , respectively. Helium was used as the carrier gas at  $13.7 \text{ mL min}^{-1}$ . All the injections were carried out with a  $100 \mu\text{l}$  gas-tight glass syringe (Hamilton, USA).

Liquid samples from the BTF leachate and the TPBR mixing chamber were daily taken for pH and dissolved oxygen (DO) analysis, while total organic carbon (TOC), inorganic carbon (IC), total nitrogen (TN) and TSS concentration were determined three times per week. DO concentration in the culture broth of the TPBR was daily monitored by an OXI 330i oximeter (WTW, Germany). The pH of the liquid samples was daily determined by a pH meter Crison 50 12T (Crison Instruments, Spain). Biomass concentration was measured as TSS according to Standard Methods (American Water 167 Works Association, 2012). Samples for the determination of TOC and TN concentrations were filtered through  $0.22 \mu\text{m}$  filters (Merck Millipore, USA) prior to analysis in a TOC-VCSH analyzer (Shimadzu, Japan) coupled with a chemiluminescence detection TN module (TNM-1) (Shimadzu, Japan).

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1 Toluene removal performance in the BTF

Following BTF inoculation, the toluene removal performance progressively increased, reaching 92 % by day 2 (Figure 2a).




Figure 2: Time course of the inlet (dark symbols) and outlet (white symbols) toluene concentration and toluene removal efficiency (continuous line) in (a) BTF and (b) TPBR. Vertical lines represent the different operating stages as indicated in the upper part of the graphs.

During this first stage, a RE of  $90 \pm 3\%$  was maintained, corresponding to an elimination capacity (EC) of  $3.5 \pm 1.6 \text{ g m}^{-3} \text{ h}^{-1}$ . A slight decrease in the pH was recorded, with a final value of 6.55 by day 13. An average  $\text{CO}_2$  production of  $10.6 \pm 3.9 \text{ g m}^{-3} \text{ h}^{-1}$  was observed, thus resulting in a toluene mineralization of  $\sim 71\%$ . By day 14, the GRT was reduced from 2 to 1 minute, thus increasing the inlet load to  $\sim 9 \text{ g m}^{-3} \text{ h}^{-1}$ . In order to avoid the accumulation of any possible inhibitory intermediates from the biodegradation process (as previously observed during the aerobic biodegradation of BTEX, Trigueros et al., 2010), the MSM renewal rate was also doubled to  $400 \text{ mL d}^{-1}$ . A highly fluctuating behavior was observed during stage II, with a sharp decrease in the toluene abatement performance recorded by day 23. Nevertheless, the system was able to recover the

preceding removals, supporting an average RE of  $84 \pm 8 \%$  and an EC of  $7.5 \pm 1.0 \text{ g m}^{-3} \text{ h}^{-1}$ . A further decrease in the GRT to 45 s in stage III did not affect the BTF removal capacity, sustaining a RE =  $88 \pm 3 \%$  and a slightly higher EC of  $11.5 \pm 1.0 \text{ g m}^{-3} \text{ h}^{-1}$  during this stage. It is important to notice that the pH steadily decreased during stages II and III, reaching values of  $\sim 5.5$  by the end of this last stage. Moreover, the  $\text{CO}_2$  production significantly increased, exceeding the theoretical maximum  $\text{CO}_2$  production. Thus, mineralization ratios  $> 100 \%$  were achieved, probably due to endogenous respiration of the microbial community. By day 58, the potential of the BTF to treat a higher inlet load was tested by doubling the inlet toluene concentration fed to the BTF (at a GRT of 45 s). The MSM renewal rate was also doubled in order to avoid biological inhibition resulting from metabolites accumulation. No alteration of the RE was recorded following the inlet load increase, reaching values up to 96 % by day 62 of operation. A sudden drop in the performance was observed by days 69-70, as a result of a clogging problem in the liquid recycling line. After pipes cleaning, the system rapidly recovered prior performance, and maximum ECs of  $\sim 20.5 \text{ g m}^{-3} \text{ h}^{-1}$  were obtained during stage IV. Despite the increase in the MSM renewed, the pH continued to decrease down to values of 3.7-4 by the end of this stage.

### 3.2 Toluene removal performance in the TPBR

After inoculating the photobioreactor with the algal-bacterial consortia, toluene RE steadily increased, reaching a RE of 89 % by day 2.6 (Figure 2b). A slightly fluctuating behavior was observed during this first stage, with average removals of  $88 \pm 5 \%$ , corresponding to an EC =  $3.8 \pm 0.9 \text{ g m}^{-3} \text{ h}^{-1}$ . During this stage, the high photosynthetic activity was confirmed by the negative  $\text{CO}_2$  production recorded (with outlet  $\text{CO}_2$  concentrations below the inlet values) and the high dissolved oxygen concentration in the liquid broth ( $\sim 7.7 \text{ mg O}_2 \text{ L}^{-1}$ ). By day 14 the toluene inlet load was double by reducing the GRT, which resulted in an increase in the toluene EC up to  $8.1 \pm 0.8 \text{ g m}^{-3} \text{ h}^{-1}$ , corresponding to removals of  $87 \pm 5 \%$ . The higher carbon load led to an increase in the production of  $\text{CO}_2$  and a decrease in the DO concentration to  $7.2 \text{ mg O}_2 \text{ L}^{-1}$  due to bacterial activity. Nevertheless, mineralization ratios close to 50 % were obtained due to  $\text{CO}_2$  fixation by algal biomass. Similar REs of  $89 \pm 3 \%$  (with an average EC of  $12.8 \pm 3.1 \text{ g m}^{-3} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ) and mineralization ratios of 42 % were recorded in stage III at a GRT of 45 s. Finally, in stage IV, the system demonstrated its capacity to cope with higher toluene inlet concentrations, maintaining previous removals and reaching maximum ECs =  $20.9 \pm 1.5 \text{ g m}^{-3} \text{ h}^{-1}$ . It is worth noting that algal biomass increased in this last stage from  $0.17 \text{ g L}^{-1}$  at the beginning of this stage to  $0.27 \text{ g L}^{-1}$  by day 74. Thus, the fixation of  $\text{CO}_2$  resulted in a final algal biomass productivity of  $656 \text{ mg d}^{-1}$ . This higher concentration resulted in a reduction of the final  $\text{CO}_2$  production, with outlet  $\text{CO}_2$  concentrations lower than the inlet values (and therefore negative mineralization ratios were recorded in stage IV). Besides, a slight increase in the DO to  $7.4 \text{ mg O}_2 \text{ L}^{-1}$  was also observed. Surprisingly, the photosynthetic activity did not seem to be affected by the low pH recorded throughout the experimentation (decreasing from the initial value of  $\sim 7$  to 5.4 by the end of the operating period).

## 4. Conclusions

A conventional biotrickling filter and an innovative closed tubular photobioreactor interconnected to an absorption column and inoculated with an algal-bacterial consortium were tested for the abatement of a waste gas stream contaminated with toluene. Both bioreactors demonstrated an efficient toluene removal efficiency  $> 85 \%$  at gas residence times in the packed bed and the absorption column as low as 45 s. A mineral medium renewal of  $800 \text{ mL min}^{-1}$  was set at the highest inlet loads treated ( $\sim 23.5 \text{ g m}^{-3} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ) in order to avoid the accumulation of possible inhibitory intermediates. Under these conditions, maximum elimination capacities of  $21 \text{ g m}^{-3} \text{ h}^{-1}$  were achieved in both bioreactors. However, the TPBR presented a competitive advantage over its biological counterpart since the photosynthetic activity of the algal biomass resulted in an increase in the dissolved oxygen concentration (reaching values of  $7.7 \text{ mg O}_2 \text{ L}^{-1}$ ) together with a reduction of the  $\text{CO}_2$  emitted in the treated gas stream. Thus, the results obtained in the present study confirmed the suitability of this innovative TPBR set up to efficiently treat toluene emissions while reducing the carbon footprint of the conventional biological technologies.

## Acknowledgments

The Erasmus Program and the FARB project (ORSA 140187) of the University of Salerno are acknowledged for the mobility grant of G. Oliva. The authors acknowledge the CONACYT-SENER program (438909) for the PhD grant of Roxana Ángeles. The regional government of Castilla y León (IUC 71) and the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (RED NOVEDAR) are also gratefully acknowledged.

## References

- Akmirza I., Carvajal A., Muñoz R., Lebrero R., 2016, Interactions between BTEX Compounds during their Anoxic Degradation, *Chemical Engineering Transactions*, 54, 115-120.
- Lebrero R., Rodríguez E., Martín M., García-Encina P.A., Muñoz R., 2010, H<sub>2</sub>S and VOCs abatement robustness in biofilters and air diffusion bioreactor: a comparative study, *Water Resource*, 44, 3905–3914.
- Lebrero R., Ángeles R., Pérez R., Muñoz R., 2016. Toluene biodegradation in an algal-bacterial airlift photobioreactor: Influence of the biomass concentration and of the presence of an organic phase, *Journal of Environmental Management*, 183, 585–593.
- Mudliar S., Giri B., Padoley K., Satpute D., Dixit R., Bhatt P., Pandey R., Juwarkar A., Vaidya A., 2010, Bioreactors for treatment of VOCs and odours – A review, *Journal of Environmental Management*, 91, 1039–1054.
- Toledo-Cervantes A., Madrid-Chirinos C., Cantera S., Lebrero R., Muñoz R., 2017, Influence of the gas-liquid flow configuration in the absorption column on photosynthetic biogas upgrading in algal-bacterial photobioreactors, *Bioresource Technology*, 225, 336–342.
- Trigueros D.E.G., Módenes A.N., Kroumov A.D., Espinoza-Quiñones F.R., 2010, Modeling of biodegradation process of BTEX compounds: Kinetic parameters estimation by using Particle Swarm Global Optimizer, *Process Biochemistry*, 45, 1355–1361.
- Wang Z., Xiu G., Qiao T., Zhao K., Zhang D., 2013, Coupling ozone and hollow fibers membrane bioreactor for enhanced treatment of gaseous xylene mixture, *Bioresource Technology*, 130, 52–58.